

Springer theory for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

1. Geometric construction of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

Fix $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$. $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ F = (\mathcal{O} = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n = \mathbb{C}^d) \right\}$$

↗
a n -step partial flag F in \mathbb{C}^d .

Connected components of \mathcal{F} are indexed by partitions of d .

$$\underline{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n), \quad \sum d_i = d, \quad d_i \geq 0$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}} := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{F} \mid \dim F_i / F_{i-1} = d_i \right\}$$

$$N := \left\{ x : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d \mid x \text{ linear}, x^n = 0 \right\}$$

$$M := \left\{ (x, F) \in N \times \mathcal{F} \mid \pi(F_i) \subseteq F_{i-1}, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

$$\begin{matrix} M \\ \downarrow \\ N \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \searrow \pi \\ F \end{matrix}$$

$$Z := M \times_N M \subseteq T^* f \times T^* f.$$

Then $M = \bigcup_d M_d$, $M_d = \{(x, F) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}_d\}$

Prop: 1) $\mathcal{F}_d \cong SL(d, \mathbb{C}) / P_d$, $P_d = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \times & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \times \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \times \end{matrix} \\ \hline & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

2) $M \cong T^* \mathcal{F}$, $M_d = T^* \mathcal{F}_d$

3) (Spaltenstein) $\forall x \in N$, let $F_x := \pi^{-1}(x)$, then

$F_x \cap M_d$ is connected, and of pure dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_x + 2 \dim F_x \cap M_d = 2 \dim \mathcal{F}_d$$

4) $\# GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ -diagonal orbits on $T^* \mathcal{F} < \infty$.

5) $Z = \bigcup$ conormal bundle to all $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ -orbits in $T^* \mathcal{F}$.

In particular, if Z^α is an irreducible component of Z

(contained in $M_{d_1} \times M_{d_2}$), then $\dim Z^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \dim (M_{d_1} \times M_{d_2})$.

Let $H(Z)$ (resp $H(F_x)$)

:= subspace of $H_*(Z)$ (resp $H_*(F_x)$) spanned by all the fundamental classes of irreducible components of Z . (resp F_x).

Rank: $H(Z) \neq H_{top}(Z)$ as Z is not of pure dimension.

By degree counting, we have

Lemma: 1) $H_1(Z)$ is a subalg of the convolution alg $H_*(Z)$

2) $H(F_x)$ is a $H(Z)$ -stable subspace of $H_*(F_x)$.

p.f.: Recall $H_i(Z_{\alpha}) * H_j(Z_{\beta}) \rightarrow H_{i+j-2\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_2}(Z_{\gamma})$.

i). follows from the dimension formulae for $Z^{\alpha} \subseteq Z$

2) Suppose $Z^{\alpha} \subseteq M_{d_1} \times M_{d_2}$, $c \in H(F_x \cap M_{d_2})$

then $[Z^{\alpha}] * c \in H_{2\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} Z^{\alpha} + 2\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(F_x \cap M_{d_2}) - 2\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_2} (F_x \cap M_{d_1})$

$$2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{Z}^\alpha + 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathbb{F}_x \cap M_{\alpha_2}) - 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_2$$

$$= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_x$$

$$= 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathbb{F}_x \cap M_{\alpha_1}).$$

□

Thm: \exists a natural surjective alg. homomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H(2).$$

Remark: $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is ω -dirl., $H(2)$ is finite dim'l.

Construction

$S = \{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha \mid 1 \leq \alpha \leq m\}$ Chevalley generators for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$

i.e.

$$e_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 0 & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & -1 & \dots \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's first construct a map

$$\mathbb{D}: S \rightarrow H(2)$$

$$h_{\alpha} \mapsto \sum_{d} (d_{\alpha} - d_{\alpha+1}) \cdot [T_d^*(F_d \times F_d)],$$

where $\Delta \hookrightarrow F_d \times F_d$ is the diagonal embedding.

Fix d , and α , let

$$\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+ := (d_1, \dots, d_{\alpha+1}, d_{\alpha+1}^{-1}, d_{\alpha+2}, \dots, d_n)$$

$$\underline{d}_{\alpha}^- := (d_1, \dots, d_{\alpha-1}, d_{\alpha+1}, d_{\alpha+2}, \dots, d_n)$$

$$\text{Let } Y_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+, \underline{d}} := \left\{ (F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+} \times \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}} \mid \begin{array}{l} F_i = F'_i, \text{ if } i \neq \alpha \\ F_{\alpha} \subseteq F'_{\alpha}, \dim F_{\alpha}/F'_{\alpha} = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$Y_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^-, \underline{d}} = \left\{ (F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^-} \times \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}} \mid \begin{array}{l} F_i = F'^{-1}_i, \text{ if } i \neq \alpha \\ F_{\alpha} \subseteq F'_{\alpha}, \dim F_{\alpha}/F'_{\alpha} = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Then $Y_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+, \underline{d}}$ is a single $GL(d, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit in $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+} \times \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}}$,

and they are orbits of minimal dimension. Hence, they are smooth closed subvarieties.

Observe that $(\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+)^- = \underline{d}$, $Y_{\underline{d}_{\alpha}^+, \underline{d}}$ and $Y_{\underline{d}, \underline{d}_{\alpha}^+}$ are related by

Switching the factors $F_{d_\alpha^+} \times F_d$.

$$\Theta(e_\alpha) := \sum_d (-1)^{d_\alpha} \cdot [T_{Y_{d_\alpha^+, d}}^* (F_{d_\alpha^+} \times F_d)]$$

$$\Theta(f_\alpha) := \sum_d (-1)^{d_\alpha + 1} [T_{Y_{d_\alpha^-, d}}^* (F_{d_\alpha^-} \times F_d)].$$

So $\Theta(f_\alpha)$ = transpose of $\Theta(e_\alpha)$

We will prove later that Θ defines a surjective alg map

$$\Theta : M(\mathrm{Bl}_n(C)) \rightarrow H(2)$$

Then either the geometric analysis of the $H(2)$ -module
or the Sheaf-method, we get

Thus: $\{H(F_x) \mid x \in V = G, n \leq N\}$ is a complete list of
the isomorphism classes of simple $H(2)$ -modules.

Pf: Geometric analysis needs

① $H(2)$ semisimp^{le} (holds since $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H(2)$)

② $H(F_x)_R \cong (H(F_x)_L)^\vee$

follows from the Cartan anti-involution on $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$

$$e_\alpha \leftrightarrow f_\alpha \quad h \leftrightarrow h_\alpha.$$

and the fact that

Cartan anti-involution = switching factors of Z .

□

2). finite dim'l simple $\mathcal{U}(sl_n(\mathbb{C}))$ -modules.

Let $x \in N$, define

$$F^{\max}(x) := (\circ = \ker x^0 \subseteq \ker x \subseteq \ker x^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \ker x^n = \mathbb{C}^d)$$

$$F^{\min}(x) := (\circ = \text{Im } x^n \subseteq \text{Im } x^{n-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Im } x^0 = \mathbb{C}^d)$$

Then $F^{\max}(x), F^{\min}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x$.

$$\text{if } F = (\circ = F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n = \mathbb{C}^d) \in \mathcal{F}_x,$$

$$\text{then } \text{Im } x^i \subseteq F_i \subseteq \ker x^i$$

This explains max and min.

$$\text{let } d_i(x) := \dim \ker x^i - \dim \ker x^{i-1}.$$

then $(d_1(x), \dots, d_n(x)) =: \underline{d}(x)$ is a partition of d , and $F^{\max}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{d}}(x)$.

Lemma: $\underline{d}(x)$ is a dominant $gl_n(\mathbb{C})$ -weight. i.e. $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$

$$\text{pf: } \ker x^{i+1}/\ker x^i \xrightarrow{x} \ker x^i/\ker x^{i-1}$$

□

Recall $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H(2)$, and $\{H(F_x) \mid x \in N\}/\text{conj}$ is a complete list of simple $H(2)$ -modules.

Thm: a) $\forall x \in N$, the simple $\mathrm{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module $H(F_x)$ has

highest weight $\underline{d}(x)$.

b) $F^{\max}(x)$ (resp. $F^{\min}(x)$) is an isolated point of F_x , and $[F^{\max}(x)]$ (resp. $[F^{\min}(x)]$) is a highest (resp. lowest) weight vector in $H(F_x)$.

Pf: Firstly, $[T_\Delta^*(F_{\underline{d}} \times F_{\underline{d}})]$ acts as the Id on $H((F_{\underline{d}})_x)$, and

o on $H((F_{\underline{d}'})_x)$ if $\underline{d}' \neq \underline{d}$.

Recall $\Theta(h_x) := \sum_d (d_x - d_{x+1}) [T_\Delta^*(F_{\underline{d}} \times F_{\underline{d}})]$.

Hence, $H((F_{\underline{d}})_x)$ has weights (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)

Since $H(F) = (F_i) \in (\mathbb{F})_k$, $F_i \subseteq \ker x^i$,

$$\Rightarrow d_1 + \dots + d_n \leq d_1(x) + d_2(x) + \dots + d_n(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{d}(x) \geq (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$$

Thus, $\underline{d}(x)$ is the highest weight in $H(F_n)$

□

Remark: 1) $x \in N$, $x^n = 0$. $d_i(x) = \ker x^i - \ker x^{i-1}$.

$\underline{d}(x)$ a partition of d with at most n -rows.

the transpose $(\underline{d}(x))^t$ = sizes of the Jordan blocks of x .

e.g. $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 0 & \end{pmatrix}$, $\underline{d}(x) = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & \\ \hline 1 & \\ \hline 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} = (2, 1, 1)$

$$d=4, n=3 \quad (\underline{d}(x))^t = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & \\ \hline 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} = (3, 1)$$

So if $x \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda \subseteq N$, $\lambda \vdash d$, then

$H(F_n)$ has highest weight λ^t .

2) simple modules of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ may arise from a rep. of $H(2)$ iff the highest weight $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ is a partition of d . Such representations are precisely the simple $\mathcal{S}\ln(C)$ modules that occur with non-zero multiplicity in $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}$

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d} = \bigoplus \\ \hookrightarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \text{---} \\ \mathfrak{sl}_n \quad S_d \quad \begin{matrix} \text{\underline{m} partition} \\ \text{of d with at} \\ \text{most n parts} \end{matrix} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} V_{\underline{m}} \otimes W_{\underline{m}} \\ \cap \quad \cap \\ \text{irr}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)) \quad \text{irrep}(S_d) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} (\text{Schur-} \\ \text{Weyl}) \end{array}$$

Hence, if $I_d := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} ((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, we

$$\text{get } \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)/I_d \xrightarrow{\sim} H(2) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} \text{End}(H(F_\lambda))$$

3) generalizations in the literature.

a) Consider the $GL_d(C) \times C^\times$ -equiv. case, we get the Yangians, (Varagnolo.)

b) consider partial flags in other classical types, we get symmetric pairs.

See. Works of Weiqiang Wang, Yiqiang Li and their collaborators.

c) regard $T^* \mathbb{F}_\lambda$ as a quiver variety for the type A Dynkin quiver, Nakajima considered other quivers, and constructed the corresponding reps.

4) \exists other constructions of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ reps via geometric Satake equivalence (the two constructions are related by symplectic duality?)